Division Bench

(2) 4

C.8.2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (PIL) No.2030 /2006

In reference : Public Money Exchaquer

Petitioners :

1. Murari Lal Gupta, aged about 49 years, son of Late Bhagirathi Lal Gupta, occupation Editor – Ajitwani, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

2. Ramesh Kumar Gupta, aged about 49 years, son of Late Govind Prasad Gupta, occupation – Social Worker, resident of Gausalapara Raigarh Tahsil and District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 670 5/06
Presented by Shri Amit Sheq - 2nd
dated 24-11-06

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Principal Secretary, Department of Legislative Assembly, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Principal Secretary, Department of Forest, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Up Sachiv, Department of Forest, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

5. Up Sachiv, Department of Agriculture, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
24/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
10/11/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

5

6. Secretary, Department of General Administration, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

7. The Secretary, Department of Finance, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

8. The Auditor General, State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur (CG)

9. J.A.C.S. Rao, Director, Department of Horticulture, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT U/A 226 ~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTERIORAI ETC OR ANY OTHER DIRECTIONS OR ORDER

72

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. P.R. No. 6705/06 **सन् 200** 2030/07

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

DB : HON'BLE SHRI VIJAY KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA AND HON'BLE SHRI DHIRENDRA MISHRA, JJ

16-1-2007

Shri Amit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the respondents 1 to 8.

None appears for respondent No. 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of this P.I.L. with liberty to take appropriate remedy available under the law or if exigency arises, to file P.I.L. afresh with relevant documents.

Considered.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. Security amount be returned to the petitioner.

Sd/-
V.K. Shrivastava
Judge

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

P.T.O.

2/1911 Kum 24/1/07